

AO 241 (Rev. 5/85)

PETITION UNDER 28 USC § 2254 FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

United States District Court	District Middle
Name Anthony MAYO	Prisoner No. Case No. DD - CR - 00336-2
Place of Continement . 1 State Collectional	Institution of Retreat J. Ramb
Humlock care	state, r. Rocate, 11 IK – Ra. 18621 - 3136
Name of Petitioner (include name under which convicted)	Name of Respondent (authorized person having cuttudy of petitione
arthan Majo	v. Charten States of America
The Attorney General of the State of:	
PET	TTION
1. Name and location of court which entered the judgment	of conviction under attack Middle District of PA
Tederal Building 228 Walnu	
2. Date of judgment of conviction But U	I
3. Length of sentence 276 m.	
_	
	of treation. Consdicacy to comment that
ottens, cardyna or using the	ens during and in relation to dring
teatlicking courses Consoiency to	o commit that atterise
5. What was your plea? (Check one) (a) Not guilty	
(b) Guilty	
• • • • • • • •	nd a not guilty plea to another count or indictment, give details:
courthe Plea to one count or	SSESSION OF GERGENI
6 Constant to the body of the second	re? (Check one) FILED
6. If you pleaded not guilty, what kind of trial did you hav (a) Jury	HARRISBURG, PA
(b) Judge only	APR 2 0 2005
7. Did you testify at the trial? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No } \(\overline{\pi} \)	MARY E. DYMRREA, CLERK
8. Did you/appeal from the judgment of conviction?	Per Deputy Clerk
Yes W No 🗅	· ·

AO 241 (Rev. 5/85)

9. If you did appeal, answer the following: (a) Name of court Linked States Court of Alkers to the TTHEO (b) Result Milested (c) Date of result and bitation, if known February 14, 2003 (d) Grounds raised Chimmal History Category Ulusard Aeparture P.S. Report Englancement (e) It you sought turner review of the accission on appear by a higher state court, please answer the following: (1) Name of court (2) Result (3) Date of result and citation, if known (4) Grounds raised (1) If you filed a petition for centionari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to each direct appeal: (1) Name of court Linked States Supreme Court please answer the following with respect to each direct appeal:
(a) Name of court Linked States Court of Alkers, too the THIPD (b) Result Milested (c) Date of result and citation, if known February 14, 2003 (d) Grounds raised Chimman History Category Ulward departure, P.S.L. Report, Comparement (c) It you sought turner review of the decision on appear by a higher state court, please answer the following: (1) Name of court (2) Result (3) Date of result and citation, if known (4) Grounds raised (1) If you filed a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to each direct appeal: (1) Name of court Linked States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to
(b) Result Afficined (c) Date of result and bitation, if known FEDELARY 14, 2003 (d) Grounds raised Criminal History Cafe gody Ulward Acparlage P.S.L. Acport, Embancement (e) It you sought tunner review of the decision on appear by a higher state court, please answer the following: (1) Name of court (2) Result (3) Date of result and citation, if known (4) Grounds raised (1) If you filed a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to each direct appeal:
(c) Date of result and titation, if known FEDEU ARLY 14, 2003 (d) Grounds raised Celmanal History Category Ulward Arpazluse 1. St. 16 Post Cananac Menter of the decision on appeal by a higher state court, please answer the following: (1) Name of court (2) Result (3) Date of result and citation, if known (8) Grounds raised (1) If you filed a petition for certionari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to each direct appeal:
(d) Grounds raised Criminal History Category Whisheld Acharduse, 1. Shall polt Company Category Whisheld Acharduse, (e) It you sought tunner review of the decision on appear by a higher state court, please answer the following: (1) Name of court (2) Result (3) Date of result and citation, if known (4) Grounds raised (6) If you filed a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to each direct appeal:
(c) It you sought tunner review of the accision on appear by a higher state court, please answer the following: (1) Name of court (2) Result (3) Date of result and citation, if known (4) Grounds raised (6) If you filed a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to each direct appeal: (1) Name of court Instead States Supreme Court.
(c) It you sought further review of the decision on appear by a higher state court, please answer the following: (1) Name of court (2) Result (3) Date of result and citation, if known (3) Grounds raised (6) If you filed a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to each direct appeal: (1) Name of court Limited States Supreme Court.
(1) Name of court (2) Result (3) Date of result and citation, if known (4) Grounds raised (1) If you filed a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to each direct appeal: (1) Name of court Limited States Supreme Court.
(2) Result (3) Date of result and citation, if known (4) Grounds raised (1) If you filed a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to each direct appeal: (1) Name of court Linited States Supreme Court
(3) Date of result and citation, if known (3) Grounds raised (4) Grounds raised (5) If you filed a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to each direct appeal: (1) Name of court Instead States Supreme Court
(1) Name of court
(1) Name of court
(1) Name of court <u>linited States</u> Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to
(1) Name of court <u>United States</u> Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to
(1) Name of cours linited States Supleme Court
(1) Name of cours limited States Supleme Court
(2) Result RETURNED (3) times
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(3) Dale of result and citation, if known feb 18, 04 May 27, 04 Howlet, of actually some
(4) Grounds raised Insufficient ass. of counsel, Plen proper ment
Notwith-Shanding Wiol of it and 5th amend.
10. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions.
applications, or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or [ederal?]
11. If your answer to 10 was "yes," give the following information: Distant Court
(a) (1) Name of court Middle Distairt of Pa. Hourstree Pa. 17108
(2) Nature of proceeding koth Counsel to file 27155
42) Water or broccord April Charles 40 4/16 SED2
(3) Grounds raised letitionta lack at Knowledge of IAW
LAN has been post wit up to date lederal law

AO 241 (Rev. 5/	 851
(4)	Did you receive an evidenciary hearing on your position, application as a series
	Yes D No D
(5)	Result Denied
(6)	Date of result Noti 30 2004
(b) As	to any second petition, application or motion give the same information:
(1)	Name of court
	Nature of proceeding
<i>(</i> 2.	
(3)	Grounds raised
(4) 1	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
1	Kes O No Ø
(6) (3	rate of result
(c) Did y	you appeal to the highest state court having jurisdiction the result of action taken on any petition, application of
(irst polition, etc. Yes (B) No (
	econd petition, etc. Yes Of No D
(d) If you	did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not.
	the state of the s
<u></u>	
13 - 64	
each groun	sely every ground on which you claim that you are being held unlawfully. Summarize briefly the facts supporting d. If necessary, you may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts supporting same.
CAUTIC	ON: In order to proceed in the federal court, you must ordinarily first exhaust your available state court comedicate
as to cach g	round On which you request action by the federal court. If you fail to set forth all grounds in this petition, you may
ne naucq (rom presenting additional grounds at a later date.

to produce the fit becomes

For your information, the following is a list of the most frequently raised grounds for relief in habeas corpus proceedings. Each statement preceded by a letter constitutes a separate ground for possible relief. You may raise any grounds which you may have other than those listed if you have exhausted your state court remedies with respect to them. However, you should raise in this petition all available grounds (relating to this conviction) on which you base your allegations that you are being held in custody unlawfully.

Do not check any of these listed grounds. If you select one or more of these grounds for relief, you must allege facts. The petition will be returned to you if you merely check (a) through (j) or any one of these grounds.

- (a) Conviction obtained by plea of guilty which was unlawfully induced or not made voluntarily with understanding of the nature of the charge and the consequences of the plea.
- (b) Conviction obtained by use of coerced confession.
- (c) Conviction obtained by use of evidence gained pursuant to an unconstitutional search and scizure.
- (d) Conviction obtained by use of evidence obtained pursuant to an unlawful arrest,
- (c) Conviction obtained by a violation of the privilege against self-incrimination.
- (f) Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to
- (g). Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection against double jeopardy.
- (h) Conviction obtained by action of a grand or petit jury which was unconstitutionally selected and impaneled.
- (i) Denial of effective assistance of counsel.
- (j) Denial of right of appeal. 1.0 111.

A. Ground one: L'h	windian obtained by	plea of quilty	with was	untan faily
induced of no made	voluntacily with un	destanding the	Linsequenc	es of Plea
	S (state briefly without citing	,	,	
i i	Ų	+ Kros i Indaé		THARCE SENTENCE
<u>130. USE</u>	Juniale lected.	I . Hought	pess. of	Ciralm
MENT DESS.	at figurery (no	t in A ceine)	and po	55.
			J -:- 1	1 20 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
				44 × 5 ×
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A Company of the Company
B. Ground two: _ D	enial of effective	assistance of	causel	tan jaga tahun s
				रंग्यु मा व
Λ		I had que	el Aid not disos abou d see cou	

AΩ	741	flev.	5/851

	C.	Ground three: Sentence Megal, and unconstitutional Vinlatina
		Pet tioners constitutional Eights
		Survey FACTS (state briefly without sizing cases or law): 1 To date 1254 Jan 1016
		according and shult exceed to act a grinter command point
		According that such a cel a greater ceiminal point
	D.	Ground four
		Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law):
,		
	,	
13.	If as wha	ny of the grounds listed in 12A, B, C, and D were not previously presented in any other court, state or federal state briefly at grounds were not so presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: Denial at ENECTIVE
	<u> </u>	sistant of coursel) I Asked MR. Dohemann to like this on
	N	12 Perfee He stated I should file it another time.
•		<u> </u>
14.	Do	you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court, either state or foderal, as to the judgment under attack?
	Yes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attacked ein:
		At preliminary hearing John I Partie Tr
		128 North Lime St. Lancaster Va. 17608-1597
	(b)	At arraignment and pica I show F Pater II.
		128 North Lime St. Lancouter Pa 17608-1597
İ		

AO 241 (Rev. 5/85)

(c)	At trial
(d)	At sentencing John F. Pyter Jr. 128 North Lime St. Lancaster Pa. 17608-1597
(c)	On appeal Jeffely C Dohemann 1 161 West Thied St. Williams Poet Ra. 17703-0215
(()	In any post-conviction proceeding
(ġ)	On appeal from any adverse ruling in a post-conviction proceeding
Same	e you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same court and at the time? • No • O
Yes (2)	you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack? No If so, give name and location of court which imposed sentence to be served in the future: The SENETA PROOF VIOLATION LOCATION
Yes (z)	□ No □
Yes (2)	If so, give name and tocation of court which imposed sentence to be served in the future: 10 The State ing Papale Violetian Lander Give date and length of the above sentence: 100 mo. 10ct. 2006 SEE Papale 1
Yes (2) (b)	If so, give name and tocation of court which imposed sentence to be served in the future: The State in Papel Violetica Loans. Give date and length of the above sentence: Loans Det 2006 See Papale
Yes (2)	If so, give name and tocation of court which imposed sentence to be served in the future: The State of the above sentence: LO MO. Oct. 2006 SEE Panale I have you filed, or do you contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to be served in the future? Yes O No Oct. 100 Yes O No Oct. 2006 Yes O No

THE COURT ERRED SENTENCING THE PETITIONER TO A GREATER TERM

OF INCARCERATION ON THE ENHANCEMENT OF THE PETITIONER'S PAST

CRIMINAL RECORD (S), JUVINILE RECORD AND MANDATORY SENTENCING

VIOLATING THE PETITIONER'S UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

The Petitioner was convicted of one (1) count Possession of a Firearm by Convicted Felon ; 18 U.S.C. 922 , (q) , (1). Potitioner was sentenced by this Court to a term of two hundred seventy - six (276) months ; incarceration . Petitioner is argueing that the sentence is an illegal sentence and unconstitutional . Petitioner avers , that the legality of sentence is never wavied . The Court is also aware that the Petitioner , maybe over the one (1) year statue of limitations after sentence has been imposed . Sec : Federal Rules of Criminal PRocedure Rule 35 (b) , (1) , (B) ; (2) , (A) and (M B). The Court may entertain the Petitioner's legality of sentence under the provisions of the statues in Rule 35 supra. The United States Supreme Court has found that the United States Courts have used an enhancement of sentencing defendants under past criminal record(s) , juvinile record(s) and prior bad acts as an unconstitutional violation of rights . See : Shepard V. United States , 2005 U.S. Lexis 2205 (March 7th , 2005). Shepard entered a guilty ploa to burglary in violation of the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Subsequently , he plead guilty to a violation of $18 \text{ U.S.C. } 922 \text{ (} \mathbf{q} \text{) }$, (l) (felon in possession of a firearm). At sentencing the issue was whether the district court judge could use police reports , or other complaint documents to determine whether the guilty plea to burglary supported a conviction for generic burglary of a structure . The district court held that it could not use the police reports and other documents . The First Circuit disagreed with the district Court . The United States Supreme Court reversed , holding that , in the case of a guilty plea , the determination of the character of the burglary had to be based on the statutory definition , the charging document , the written plea agreement , the transcript of the plea colloquy , or admissions of the defendant . Four Justices suled that " any fact other than prior conviction used to increase the sentence must be found by a jury or admitted by the defendant " thus further eroding

Almendarez-Torres V. United States , 523 U.S. 224 , 140 L.Ed. 2d. 350 , 118 S.Ct. 1219 (1998) . This Court on the record had sentenced the Petitioner to an increased sentence using the Petitioner's prior convictions and juvinile records and if this Court reviewed the record at sentencing it would notice that these documents were brought up at the sentencing and that this Court erred in the determination of the sentence of two hundred seventy - $\sin x$ (276) months on the basis of the Petitioner's prior records . In the case of Blakely V. Washington , 542 U.S. , 124 S.Ct. 159 L.Ed. 2d. 403 (2004) . held the sixth (6th) Amendment of the United States Constitution prohibits imposition of a sentence above the legally prescribed maxiuim based on a fact or facts neither admitted by the defendant nor found by a jury beyond a reasonable doubt . The legally prescribed maximum means the " maximum sentence the judge may impose soley on the basis of facts reflected in the jury's verdict or admitted by the defendant" Blakely , 124 S.Ct. at 2537 . Also see : United States V . Booker , 543 U.S. 125 S.Ct. 738 , 160 L.Ed. 2d. 621 (2005). held that Blakely supra, applies to the Federal Sentencing guidelines and further held that the federal sentencing guidelines would be viewed as advisory and not mandatory , and that appellate review of federal sentences would be for reasonableness . Petitioner is under a illegal sentence that was imposed by this Court , which has been governed by the United States Supreme Court and by the United States Constitution . This Court had sentenced the Petitioner to a maximum term of two hundred seventy - six (276) months due to Petitioner's prior criminal history , even as a juvinile to enhance the sentence . See : Sentencing Transcripts. Court is WARRANTED to review the sentencing transcripts and to VACATE the Petitioner's sentence and to RESENTENCE Petitioner under the provisions of the federal guidelines as stated in the statue federal guidelines in accordance with the charge of possession of a firearm by a convicted felon , 18 U.S.C. 922 , (g) (l) without the enhacement and the use of the Petitioner's prior records .

OFFICE of The CLERK

UNITED SHAPES District Court

Middle District of PENNISYLVANIA

ARB WARNES FOX 983

WARRESDURG. RA. 17108